Wetting and drying of aqueous droplets with non-ionic surfactants C_nE_m Jing Shi, Lisong Yang, Colin Bain Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE

Introduction

Surfactant is a versatile chemical in different formulations. Understanding the influence of surfactants on drying droplets is of importance for various industries, e.g., printing, spray coating and agricultural spraying. Drying characteristics of droplets with non-ionic surfactant polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers (C_nE_m) remain scarcely explored though they are widely used as emulsifying agents and detergents.

We studied systematically the wetting and drying behaviours of aqueous pico-litre droplets with $C_n E_m$ and compared with those containing anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). The spreading and drying of surfactant-laden droplets on hydrophilic substrates were studied by three-phase contact line (TCL) tracking and interferometry. We found that a drying $C_n E_m$ -laden droplet distinctively undergoes phase separation — a water-rich droplet retracts and leaves a surfactant mesophase thin film behind. We also found that surprisingly both $C_n E_m$ and SDS solutions inhibit spreading on hydrophilic surfaces, and attributed this phenomenon to Marangoni contraction as a result of surface tension gradient across the gas-liquid interface. More

pronounced suppression of spreading is observed in the case of $C_n E_m$ solutions, which is believed due to phase transition of surfactant solution to mesophase at the vicinity of the initial TCL as droplet spreads and dries simultaneously. Internal flow of droplets is revealed by tracer particles that mild Marangoni flows exist in general for droplets with surfactant concentrations well above critical micelle concentration (CMC).



2 Experiments

A Experimental conditions

- Substrates used:
 - Hydrophilic substrate: plasma cleaned glass coverslips, freshly made on the day of experiments.
 - Hydrophobic substrate: HMDS (HexaMethylDiSilazane) treated glass coverslips
- Droplet sizes: 200±50 pL; diameter 200±50 µm (after spreading); drying time of the major droplet $t_f \sim 1$ s
- RH=40%±10%, temperature T=21±0.5 °C.
- Surfactant concentrations: 0.5, 1, 5, 10 mM for C_nE_m; 5, 10, 20 mM for SDS.
- $\frac{RU}{D} \sim \frac{10^{-4} \times 10^{-4}}{10^{-10}} \sim 100$ • Peclet number of micelles: Pe =(Convection dominant)

B Imaging of the drying process of a droplet

• On Spreading and Drying of Surfactant Droplets Observed with illumination from underneath the substrate. A high frame rate (4000 fps) was used for tracking the rapid spreading process upon landing of the droplet.

 On Internal Flows During the Drying Of Surfactant Droplets

Droplets of surfactant solutions with 0.04/0.05 vol.% PS tracer particles were observed with oblique illumination.



jing.shi@durham.ac.uk; lisong.yang@durham.ac.uk; c.d.bain@durham.ac.uk

3 Results

A General picture of the drying process



droplets

(C)

Figure 4. Dimensionless contact diameter versus dimensionless drying time for droplets with different surfactants. D is the diameter of the water (or water-rich) droplet, D_0 the diameter of the droplet upon landing and t_f the vanishing time of the receding droplet, $t_f = O(10^0)$ s. (a) SDS; (b) $C_{10}E_8$; (c) $C_{14}E_8$; (d) $C_{14}E_6$.

> Surprisingly SDS and $C_n E_m$ solutions inhibit spreading on hydrophilic surfaces; the suppressed spreading is more pronounced in C_nE_m solutions. Two possible sources for the suppressed spreading: Marangoni contraction



mM $C_{14}E_6$.





ii. For C_nE_m-laden droplets, quick formation of a mesophase (liquid crystalline) near the TCL pins the droplets and stops further spreading of the droplets

Retracting

the vanishing time of the receding droplet, $t_f = O(10^{\circ})$ s. (a) 5 mM SDS; (b) 5 mM C₁₄E₈; (c) 5



Figure 6. Evolution of the contact angle and contact diameter of a drying water droplet (a) and surfactantladen (b-d, b: SDS; c: $C_{14}E_8$, d: $C_{14}E_6$) droplets on a hydrophilic substrate. t_f denotes the vanishing time of the receding droplet, t_{i} = O (10⁰) s.



The EPSRC grant EP/N025245/1 is acknowledged.





Figure 8. Trajectories of tracer particle (a, b, c) and sketches of internal flows (A, B, C) during the evaporation of $C_n E_m$ -laden droplets containing 0.05 vol % PS particles. (a, A) 0.5 mM C₁₄E₈; (b, B) 10 mM C₁₄E₈; (c, C) 10 mM C₁₄E₆. t_f denotes the vanishing time of the receding droplet, $t_f = O(10^{\circ})$ s. For the trajectories, the red dots represent the stationary particles in the radial direction (movement < three pixels in the time interval), the blue and green lines represent outward and inward trajectories, respectively. The bold line and the dashed line represent the contact line at the start and end of the corresponding time-bin, respectively.

4 Conclusions

• The C_nE_m -laden droplets undergo phase separation with evaporation; phase separation occurs in the priory of the droplet thus a thin mesophase film is left behind a retracting droplet as water evaporates.

• The existence of the mesophase film is corroborated by tests conducted on hydrophobic substrates which showed that the receding contact angle at the late stage of a drying $C_n E_m$ -laden droplet is independent of the hydrophobicity of the substrate. Both C_nE_m and SDS solutions inhibit spreading on hydrophilic surfaces, and we attribute this phenomenon to Marangoni contraction. In addition, phase transition at the initial TCL contributes to the more pronounced suppression of droplet spreading for $C_n E_m$ solutions.

Mild Marangoni flows directing towards the apex exist in droplets with surfactant concentrations well above CMC.