

## Complex ORAL health products (CORAL) Characterisation, modelling and manufacturing challenges

THE ADVANCED MULTIPHASI

**EPSRC Future Formulation grant** 



#### **Chemical Engineering**

<u>Panagiota Angeli</u>: Two-phase flows, intensified processing, laser based flow diagnostics (PIV, LIF)
<u>Luca Mazzei</u>: Two-phase flows, particulate systems, CFD, numerical modelling

Rashid Jamshidi, Simona Migliozzi, Marti Cortada, Weheliye Weheliye

#### **Mechanical Engineering**

<u>Stavroula Balabani</u>: experimental fluid mechanics, particle suspensions, RheoPiv, microstructure <u>Manish Tiwari</u>: nanotechnology, rheology, AFM Yao Lu, Anastasia Papadopoulou

#### **Mathematics**

Helen Wilson: rheology, non-Newtonian fluids, mathematical analysis Liam Escott

#### Industrial support: GSK, Xaar CPI



#### **Overall vision**

#### The main aim is to gain fundamental understanding and develop methodologies to aid manufacturing of complex formulations, including particle suspensions in non Newtonian fluids.

Issues include interparticle interactions, particle aggregation, particle wetting and swelling and inclusion of air bubbles.



- We will follow a multiscale approach involving mathematical analysis, numerical simulations and advanced experimentation, to
- *elucidate* the dependence of the nonlinear rheology of the suspensions/pastes on microscale interactions
- develop the modelling tools, which describe the effects of process conditions on the suspension/paste rheology.

These will enable us to address **manufacturing** and **scale up** issues and to propose new **intensified continuous processes.** 







#### **WP1**

### Characterize the local and bulk rheological properties of oral product formulation mixtures



Provide insight into behaviour of non aqueous particle suspensions and inform mathematical and numerical modelling.

- 1. Characterise bulk rheology of particle suspensions in non aqueous matrices
  - monodisperse and polydisperse particle suspensions in glycerol
  - same suspensions in non Newtonian (glycerol+ polymer) matrix
- 2. Provide a link between microstructure and rheology through Rheo-optics
- 3. Probe interparticle forces of suspended particles in in non-Newtonian media.

#### Rheology of suspensions

- Silica particles suspended in glycerol using using high shear mixer
- Parallel plate geometries used: 40 mm Parallel H/A Aluminium (DHR-3) (A)
  50 mm Sandblasted Stainless Steel (ANTON-PAAR MCR301) (B)



Non-Newtonian behaviour is observed only for  $\underline{\phi} = 0.25$ , since Herschel - Bulkley model showed:  $\mathbf{n} = 0.95 \& \mathbf{T}_0 = 0.15 Pa$ 



#### Optical shearing and mPIV (rheoPIV)

- Couette device (30µm shearing gap);contant shear
- Image microstructure during shearing
- Characterise aggregation but also flow kinematics



Velocity field in different planes

#### Microstructure characterisation



#### AFM studies of inter-particle forces



#### Multimode 8 AFM, Bruker

Image courtesy of Ian Armstrong, Bruker





#### **WP2**

Mathematical modelling to derive constitutive equations that describe the rheology of the systems studied above and incorporate microscale interactions

#### Analytical work



Solid particles in a viscoelastic fluid matrix Effect of particles on macroscopic rheology.

- Slow flow expansion (weak elasticity)
- Mean-field "cell model" as a proxy for solids concentration:



**Objective:** deduce best possible one-phase constitutive model of the two-phase system (matrix and particles).

#### Analytical work – follow up steps

- 1) Better matrix models, different inclusions.
- Background fluid rheology is **not** weakly viscoelastic! Need more realistic VE models
- There may be bubbles
  - 2) Account for particle-particle interactions
  - Incorporate inter-particle forces from AFM experiments
  - Quantify local effects of fluid layer between close particles





#### WP3

# Formulate a continuum description of the mixture flows

## **UCL**

#### **Objectives**

- Simulate the mixture fluid dynamics and the particle mixing using CFD
- Implement the mixture rheology (obtained by means of mathematical modelling or experiments) for validation
- Perform a sensitivity analysis on the most important parameters affecting the fluid dynamic behaviour of the mixtures

#### Challenges

- Polydisperse, non-spherical particles with high concentration
- Different effects of different particles on the rheology of the mixture



#### **Simulation approaches**

1. Eulerian-Eulerian model

Solves mass and momentum balance equations for each phase

#### 2. Mixture model

Ø Solves the mass and momentum balance equations for the mixture, a mass balance equation for the solid phase and an algebraic equation for the relative velocity between the fluid and solid phases

Ø Allows us to use a mixture viscosity obtained from experiments

## **UCL**

#### **Current work**

Mixture viscosity in mixture approach: 1) Batchelor's equation, 2) Experiment

Particles are assumed to be monodisperse and spherical

Eulerian-Eulerian approach	Mixture modelling approach
Newtonian liquid + particles	Newtonian liquid + particles
Non-Newtonian liquid + particles	Non-Newtonian liquid + particles

We do not recover the non-Newtonian behaviour in the dense limit from the E-E approach by using the default formulation in Fluent.

Use the **mixture approach** with viscosity obtained by rheological measurements or mathematical modelling. These will include polydisperse particles and non-Newtonian matrices



#### WP4

#### Scale-up and manufacturing issues

Initial studies without solids.

#### **Objectives**

- Develop and validate the CFD code
- Investigate the rheology of the mixtures

Mixtures of glycerol with activated gel



Detailed rheology measurements for different temperatures and phase fractions.



#### **CFD model validation**

- Simple mixer configuration
- Accurate torque/power measurements using a air bearing





Gel 80%/Glycerol 20% at 60 °C

Cortada-Garcia, Dore, Mazzei, Angeli, ChERD, 119, 171, 2017.



CFD simulations reveal dependence of mixing on rheology



Impeller type used not effective

Vorticity profile and velocity vectors. LHS glycerol 20 °C 100 rpm RHS gel 80% 60 °C 100 rpm

Improved impeller/baffles geometry to reflect industrial mixer and improve mixing





#### Comparisons between experimental and CFD results





Gel mas fraction (%)	5
N (rpm)	40
Ti (°C)	50
Te (°C)	48
T avg (°C)	49
$\Delta t (ms)$	15
Tip speed (m/s)	0.25





#### Hydrodynamics in the stirred vessel



Characterisation of mixing performance



Blob of gel with fluorescent dye

≜ U C



Blob of glycerol + gel with fluorescent dye



**UCL** 







- + Lower viscosity ratio
- + Room temperature

#### WHY STATIC MIXERS?

- ü Approach plug flow
- üGood mixing at low shear rates
- ü Short residence time
- ü Small space required
- ü Low maintenance, operative and equipment costs

- Unknow rheology of the final mixture
- Possible change of rheology during mixing

#### WORKING PRINCIPLE



http://www.stamixco-usa.com/principles-of-operation

#### A continuous approach

#### **Design steps**

- PRESSURE DROP
- MIXING EFFICIENCY

#### PRELIMINARY RHEOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS



**CFD** Modelling







- ∨ LENGTH
- ✓ FLOW RATES





#### A continuous approach

**UCL** 

- § CFD simulations of the two-liquid flow system
- § Experimental campaign



## Assessment of the final product quality

#### ECT (Electrical Capacitance Tomography)



- + Non-intrusive technique
- + In-line concentration profiles
- + High image capture rate
- Need to design proper electrodes
- Need different permittivities for the two phases

Concentration gradients along the mixer



- Characterise rheology of mixtures
- Study mixing efficiency using known fluids
- Experimental studies on hydrodynamics and on mixing efficiency
- Computational fluid dynamics simulations
- Addition of solids



**MEMPHIS project**: http://www.memphis-multiphase.org/

#### ThAMeS Multiphase Department Chemical Engineering UCL

http://www.ucl.ac.uk/multiphase-advances-research





